



Quincy School District 144 - 101

Improving the Life Choices for All Students

SUPERINTENDENT
Burton Dickerson

BOARD MEMBERS
Myrna Blakely
JoAnn Garces
Tricia Lubach
Mike Scharbach
Alex Ybarra

119 J Street SW

Quincy, WA 98848

Phone 509/787-4571

FAX 509/787-4336

April 1, 2014

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sir/Madame:

I am writing today in response to the FCC's Public Notice (PN): "Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Focused Comment on E-Rate Modernization." The E-Rate program currently represents the only source of federal funding aimed at educational technology. This is critical in providing discounts to assist schools such as Quincy School District to obtain affordable telecommunications and internet access.

It is my hope that the final changes to the E-Rate program position to program to continue to fulfill its original promise of connectivity in the broader context of equity, local decision making, and technological neutrality. More specifically to the FCC's proposal, I offer the following comments:

- Support technological neutrality: Technological neutrality (allowing a variety of technologies as opposed to prescribing a limited number) and local decision-making are an efficiency: Local school system and library leaders are best positioned to know their respective technological needs, the process for implementing the technology plan, and the related costs. Tech neutrality and local decision making empower districts like mine to maximize the benefit of E-Rate dollars, for connections both *to* and *within* schools and libraries.
- Oppose any effort to set aside a specific portion of E-Rate dollars for Priority Two: The concept of a carve out/set aside for Priority Two sets up the very real threat of 'robbing Peter to pay Paul', whereby the set aside for Priority Two would encroach on Priority One, leaving both priorities to be rationed.

- Oppose any proposal that would distribute E-Rate funding on the basis of a per-capita (ie, per-student) basis: Beyond an inability to recognize high-cost service factors that often impact rural and small schools, a per-capita approach is a step away from E-Rate's historical focus on equity. Per capita limits are poor proxies for ensuring that funds remain targeted on the neediest populations."
- Support Streamlining Administrative Process: Streamlining of the administrative process including online filing and reduced administrative burden¹, as well as allowing for multi-year applications and providing an 'EZ' renewal form for applicants making no changes to a previous year's application.
- Support Voice Services: Voice remains an important E-Rate service for schools and libraries. Removing voice services from the eligible services list does not negate my district's very real need for working phones, for everything from simple contact to emergency communication. The shift would translate into increased fiscal pressure on my district's budget.
- Oppose demonstration projects within E-Rate funding: Any of the pilot projects siphon limited dollars away from the historically oversubscribed E-Rate program. Any incursion on the E-rate program – whether it be from a new service, a new class of applicants, or a new program (as the proposed pilot would be) – would significantly destabilize the program.

Thank you for considering my response as you move forward with your decision on the E-Rate program. I applaud the FCC for its continued efforts to protect the already oversubscribed E-Rate program by ensuring the future of this successful program. I urge you to support significant increased funding for the E-Rate program, and to ensure that the program and its limited resources are protected and preserved.

Sincerely,



Burton Dickerson, Ed. D.
Superintendent